

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,225	11/09/2005	Axel Kohnke	915-006.098	4862
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			EXAMINER	
			LAI, MICHAEL C	
MONROE, CT	STREET, P O BOX 224 CT 06468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmony	10/556,225	KOHNKE, AXEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Lai	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNITY (136(a)). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me. cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2005</u> .					
,	·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 November 2005 is/s.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) additional accepted or b) are drawing(s) be held in abey action is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9 nov 2005.	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date  of Informal Patent Application				

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to communication filed on 11/09/2005. This application is a 371 of PCT/IB03/01812 filed on 05/09/2003. Claims 1-20 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 15 recites the limitation of "Computer program product... comprising loadable program code sections for..." As such, the claim covers embodiments directed to program code, per se. The claim is being rejected as non-statutory as directed to a form of program rather than a patent-eligible machine, manufacture, process or composition of matter.

Claim 17 recites the limitation of "Computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave ..." As such, the claim covers embodiments directed to signals and waves, per se. The claim is being rejected as non-statutory as directed to a form of energy rather than a patent-eligible machine, manufacture, process or composition of matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-4 and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by De Beer (2003/0165227, hereinafter De Beer).

Regarding claim 1, De Beer discloses a method for retrieval of network information comprising network information about network operators associated with a telephone number, said method comprising:

coding a request comprising one or more telephone numbers [para. 52, step 43, generates a request message in the SMS format];

transmitting said request to a network serving entity for performing said network information retrieval [para. 53, step 45];

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

receiving a response from said network serving entity, said response comprising network information about network operators relating to said one or more telephone numbers [para. 0055, step 47];

decoding said response to extract said network information [para. 0055, lines 1-6]; and

storing said network information in conjunction with said one or more telephone numbers [para. 0055, step 48].

Regarding claim 2, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 1, comprising:

receiving a response which is structured into at least one information record which includes one telephone number of said one or more telephone numbers and a network information relating to said one telephone number [FIG. 6 and para. 0054].

Regarding claim 3, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 1, comprising:

selecting said one or more telephone numbers from a plurality of telephone numbers stored in a telephone directory of a communication terminal [para. 0123, phonebook].

Regarding claim 4, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 3, wherein said selecting is performed manually by a user of said communication terminal [para. 0052].

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claim 6, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 3, wherein said telephone directory is for storing telephone directory entries each of which includes at least a designation and a telephone number, wherein said method comprises:

identifying at least one telephone directory entry, to which said at least one information record relates, on the basis of said one telephone number that is included in said information record [para. 0057];

storing said network information by including said network information into said at least one identified telephone directory entry [para. 0055, step 48].

Regarding claim 7, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein said network information comprises at least information about a network operator, in particular information about an identity of said network operator [para 0054, updating information and service provider].

Regarding claim 8, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein said network information comprises charging information [para. 0004, updating information and charging rate].

Regarding claim 9, De Beer discloses a method for network information comprising network information about network operators associated with a telephone number, said method comprising:

receiving a request from a communication terminal, wherein said request comprises one or more telephone numbers [para. 0054, note that "the control

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

center responds by sending a response message to the mobile" implies that it has received a request from the mobile.];

decoding said request to extract said one or more telephone numbers [para. 0053, note that the mobile sending the request message in SMS format to the control center implies the message must be decoded by the control center];

retrieving network information about network operators relating to said one or more telephone numbers [FIG. 2 and para. 0038, the control center 7 and the database 10];

coding a response which comprises said retrieved network information [para. 0055, note that decoding the response message from the control center implies coding the response message by the control center]; and

transmitting said response to said communication terminal [para. 0054, step 46].

Regarding claim 10, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 9, comprising:

retrieving network information by accessing a data storage which stores network information which relates to a plurality of telephone numbers [FIG. 2 and para. 0038, the control center 7 and the database 10].

Regarding claim 11, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 9, comprising:

coding said response which is structured into at least one information record including one telephone number of said one or more telephone numbers

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

and network information which relates to said one telephone number [para. 0055, note that decoding the response message from the control center implies coding the response message by the control center].

Regarding claim 12, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 9, wherein said network information comprises at least information about a network operator, in particular information about an identity of said network operator [para. 0054, updating information and service provider].

Regarding claim 13, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 9, wherein said network information comprises charging information [para. 0004, updating information and charging rate].

Claim 14 is a combination of claims 1 and 9. It is rejected for the same reasons for both claims 1 and 9.

Claim 15 is of the same scope as claim 1. It is rejected for the same reason for claim 1.

Claim 16 is of the same scope as claim 1. It is rejected for the same reason for claim 1.

Claim 17 is of the same scope as claim 1. It is rejected for the same reason for claim 1.

Claim 18 is of the same scope as claim 1. It is rejected for the same reason for claim 1.

Claim 19 is of the same scope as claim 9. It is rejected for the same reason for claim 9.

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

Claim 20 is of the same scope as claim 14. It is rejected for the same reason for claim 14.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Beer as applied to claim 3, and in view of Tomiyori (US 5,305,372, hereinafter Tomiyori).

Regarding claim 5, De Beer discloses a method according to claim 3, but silent about wherein said selecting is performed automatically in accordance with a pre-defined selection definition. However, Tomiyori teaches a speed dialing memory storing a plurality of destination address numbers corresponding to user-defined speed dialing codes [col. 1, lines 44-50 and col. 2, lines 61-68]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Tomiyori's teaching into De Beer's method for the purpose of speed dialing by a pre-defined selection definition, thereby providing a more user friendly service.

## Conclusion

**Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Lai whose telephone number is (571) 270-3236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/556,225 Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Lai 10DEC2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100